Flood Potential Analysis (FPAn) using Geo-Spatial Data in Penampang area, Sabah
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1. Introduction

The Penampang District of Sabah, East Malaysia (Fig. 1) is subjected to development pressure as the urban centre of Kota Kinabalu expands onto the Sungai Moyog floodplain. The subsequent transition of land use from rural development and cultivation of rice paddy to intensive urban development presents a range of social and environmental issues. Of particular concern to the area are the issues associated with flooding.

In 2014 from October 7 to October 10, Penampang suffered its worse flood ever, since the last big flood in 1991 (Figs. 2 & 3). According to the District Officer of Penampang as many as 40,000 people from 70 villages were affected by the flood. The flood coincided with continuous heavy rainfall due to typhoon Phanfone and typhoon Vongfong. Another recent flood disaster in Penampang occurred on September 2007 and May 2013, affecting several villages (Fig. 3).

Flooding is one of the major natural disasters in Sabah, Malaysia. Several recent cases of catastrophic flooding were recorded especially in Penampang area, Sabah (e.g. July 1999; October 2010; April 2013; October & December 2014). Heavy monsoon rainfall has triggered floods and caused great damage in Penampang area. The 2014 floods has affected 40,000 people from 70 villages. The main objective of this study are to analysis the Flood Potential Level (FPL) in the study area. In this study, eight (8) parameters were considered in relation to the causative factors to flooding, which are: rainfall, slope gradient, elevation, drainage density, landuse, soil textures, slope curvatures and flow accumulation. Flood Potential Analysis (FPAn) map were produced based on the data collected from the field survey, laboratory analysis, high resolution digital radar images (IFSAR) acquisation, and secondary data. FPL were defined using Multi Criteria Evaluation (MCE) technique integrated with GIS software. The information from this paper can contribute to better management of flood disaster in this study area.

Figure 1: Location of the study area
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Determining the flood susceptible/vulnerable areas is very important to decision makers for planning and management of activities. Decision making is actually a choice or selection of alternative course of action in many fields, both the social and natural sciences. The inevitable problems in these fields necessitated a detailed analysis considering a large number of different criteria. All these criteria need to be evaluated for decision analysis.30-34 For instance, Multi Criteria Evaluation (MCE) methods has been applied in several studies since 80% of data used by decision makers are related geographically.35-36 Geographic Information System (GIS) provides more and better information for decision making situations. It allows the decision makers to identify a list, meeting a predefined set of criteria with the overlay process,37-38 and the multi-criteria decision analysis within GIS is used to develop and evaluate alternative plans that may facilitate compromise among interested parties.39

2.2 Multi-Criteria Evaluation (MCE) technique

The initial step in Phase III is the delineation and conversion processes of data from the radar images (IFSAR). Phase III also covers the integration between criteria weights and maps, producing a Flood Potential Analysis (FPAn) using spatial analyst, which determine the Flood Potential Level (FPAn).
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The initial step in Phase III is the delineation and conversion processes of data from the radar images (IFSAR). Phase III also covers the integration between criteria weights and maps, producing a Flood Potential Analysis (FPAn) using spatial analyst, which determine the Flood Potential Level (FPPl). All of the thematic maps produced were analyzed through the spatial analyst technique (raster calculator) based on Eq. (1) for LSL estimation and classification (Tab. 1). The FPL calculation was carried out through a combination of input parametric maps in Eq. (1) with the GIS operations using a grid base.

\[
\text{[(32.53°Rainfall) + (22.74°Drainage Density) + (15.84°Flow Accumulation) + (1.08°Landuse) + (7.19°Elevation) + (4.89°Slope Gradient) + (3.35°Soil Textures) + (2.38°Slope Curvatures)]}
\]

(1)

2.3 Flood Potential Analysis (FPAn)

The initial step in Phase III is the delineation and conversion processes of data from the radar images (IFSAR). Phase III also covers the integration between criteria weights and maps, producing a Flood Potential Analysis (FPAn) using spatial analyst, which determine the Flood Potential Level (FPPl). All of the thematic maps produced were analyzed through the spatial analyst technique (raster calculator) based on Eq. (1) for LSL estimation and classification (Tab. 1). The FPL calculation was carried out through a combination of input parametric maps in Eq. (1) with the GIS operations using a grid base.

Table 1: The weighted value of the factor in the flood result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Parameters</th>
<th>Total Weighted</th>
<th>Sub Parameters</th>
<th>Weighted Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>0.3253</td>
<td>0 - 40 mm</td>
<td>0.0624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41 - 100 mm</td>
<td>0.0986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101 - 200 mm</td>
<td>0.1610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>201 - 300 mm</td>
<td>0.2618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 300 mm</td>
<td>0.4162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Density</td>
<td>0.2274</td>
<td>0 - 50 m</td>
<td>0.1462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51 - 100 m</td>
<td>0.2618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101 - 150 m</td>
<td>0.1610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151 - 200 m</td>
<td>0.0986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 200 m</td>
<td>0.0624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Accumulation</td>
<td>0.1384</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>0.1288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.1470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0.1402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.2278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>0.5612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landuse</td>
<td>0.1108</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>0.3126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.3509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Institution &amp; School</td>
<td>0.2193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Infrastructures</td>
<td>0.1380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural &amp; Forestry</td>
<td>0.0738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>0.0719</td>
<td>&lt; 5 m</td>
<td>0.2940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 - 10 m</td>
<td>0.3081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 - 20 m</td>
<td>0.2118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21 - 30 m</td>
<td>0.1507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 30 m</td>
<td>0.0739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope Gradient</td>
<td>0.0450</td>
<td>0 - 5 (°)</td>
<td>0.0523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 - 15 (°)</td>
<td>0.0986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 - 30 (°)</td>
<td>0.1611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 30 (°)</td>
<td>0.2618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Textures</td>
<td>0.0335</td>
<td>Loka</td>
<td>0.0199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weston</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tanjung Aru</td>
<td>0.0323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kinabatangan</td>
<td>0.2433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuaran</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dait</td>
<td>0.0811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Credor</td>
<td>0.1102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sagp</td>
<td>0.4495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brawian</td>
<td>0.2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miks</td>
<td>0.2716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope Curvatures</td>
<td>0.0238</td>
<td>Convex</td>
<td>0.5389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Concave</td>
<td>0.2973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>0.1638</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Materials and Method

3.1 Rainfall
Heavy rainfalls are one of the major causes of floods. Flooding occurs most commonly from heavy rainfall when natural watercourses do not have the capacity to convey excess water. Floods are associated with extremes in rainfall, any water that cannot immediately seep into the ground flows down slope as runoff. The amount of runoff is related to the amount of rain a region experiences. The level of water in rivers rises due to heavy rainfalls. When the level of water rises above the river banks or dams, the water starts overflowing, hence causing river based floods. The water overflows to the areas adjoining to the rivers or dams, causing floods.38

In the study, a rainfall map was developed based on the daily rainfall values (short term intensity rainfall) for the study area (Figs. 3 & 5). Based on the information obtained from the Metrology Department of Malaysia (MetMalaysia) and the Sabah Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID), a total of four (4) stations were identified, i.e. the Ulu Moyog station, Inanam station, Kota Kinabalu International Airport (KKIA) station and Babagon station. A mean annual rainfall for fourteen (14) years (2002–2015) was considered and interpolated using Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) to create a continuous raster rainfall data within and around municipality boundary. The resulting raster layer was finally reclassified into the five classes using an equal interval. The reclassified rainfall was given a value < 40 mm (weighted = 0.0624) for least rainfall to > 300 mm (weighted = 0.4162) for highest rainfall (Tab. 1 & Fig. 5).

Figure 5: Rainfall map

3.2 Drainage density
Drainage is an important ecosystem controlling the hazards as its densities denote the nature of the soil and its geotechnical properties. This means that the higher the density, the higher the catchment area is susceptible to erosion, resulting in sedimentation at the lower grounds.38 The first step in the quantitative FSAn is designation of stream order. The Stream ordering in the present study area was done using the method proposed by.43 Drainage density map could be derived from the drainage map, i.e., drainage map is overlaid on watershed map to find out the ratio of total length of streams in the watershed to total area of watershed and is categorized. The drainage density of the watershed is calculated as: \( D = \frac{L}{A} \), where, \( D \) = drainage density of watershed, \( L \) = total length of drainage channel in watershed (km); \( A \) = total area of watershed (km²). For the study area, higher weighted value (0.4162) were assigned to poor drainage density areas and lower weighted value (0.0624) were assigned to areas with adequate drainage. The drainage density layer were reclassified in five sub-groups using the standard classification Schemes. Areas with very low drainage density are > 200 mm and those with very high drainage density with value of < 50 mm as depicted in the results Table 1 and Figure 6.

Figure 6: Drainage density map

3.3 Flow accumulation
Flow accumulation is where water accumulates from precipitation with sinks being filled. From the flow accumulation of the study area, two (2) main rivers in the study area were derived: Moyog, and Babagon Rivers (Fig. 7). For the study area, higher weighted value (0.3612) were assigned as highest flow accumulation areas and lower weighted value (0.1238) were assigned as lowest flow accumulation. The flow accumulation layer were reclassified in five sub-groups using the standard classification Schemes (very low to very high as shown in the results Table 1 and Figure 7.

Figure 7: Flow accumulation map

3.4 Landuse
The land-use of an area is also one of the primary concerns in FSAn because this is one factor which not only reflects the current use of the land, pattern and type of its use but also in relation to infiltration. Land-cover like vegetation cover, whether that is permanent grassland or the cover of other crops, has an important impact on the ability of the soil to act as a water store.38 Impermeable surfaces such as concrete, absorbs almost no water at all. Land-use like buildings and roads, decreases penetration capacity of the soil and increases the water runoff. Land-use types work as resistant covers and decrease the water hold up time; and typically, it increases the peak discharge of water that enhances a fastidious flooding. This implies that land-use and land-cover are crucial factors in determining the probabilities of flood.38 & 39

In this study area, land use map shows a few sectors such as the residential sector, commercial sector, public infrastructure sector, the industrial sector, the higher education institutions and schools sector, and the agriculture, forestry and others sector (Fig. 8 & Tab. 1). Based on the results of the GIS spatial analyst conducted, it was found that the agriculture, forestry and others sector cover the widest area in the study area (53.92%). This was followed by the residential sector (32.99%), the commercial sector (6.00%), water body (2.34%), the higher education institutions and schools sector (2.27%), the industrial sector (1.68%), and the public infrastructure sector (0.82%). In terms of the progress of the diversity of land use, this means that the study area has been explored for more than 70% as a whole for development and agricultural activities. Exploration mass without control/ enforcement of the activities of slope cutting can trigger the occurrence of flash flood.

Figure 8: Landuse map

3.5 Elevation
A digital elevation model (DEM) of the slope conditions provided by raster datasets on morphometric features (altitude, internal relief, slope angle, aspect, longitudinal and transverse slope curvature and slope roughness) and on hydrologic parameters (watershed area, drainage density, drainage
The elevation of topography in the study area can be divided into three main areas: lowland areas (<10 m), moderately highland areas (11-30 m) and hilly areas (> 30 m) (Fig. 9 & Tab. 1). Almost 16.01% of the study area consists of lowland areas (~10 m). Lowland areas were concentrated in the southwestern and northern parts of the study area with low hills. This region includes the alluvial plains and areas which have undergone a process of cut and fill slopes activities for urbanization, housing, manufacturing, and other infrastructure construction. From the satellite images observations, lowland areas have brighter tone, incorporeal arise and flat. The directional trend of lineaments is northeast-southwest. Short and intermittent drainages often found in lowland areas and mostly dried during the dried season. In lowland areas also have several small lakes such as Taman Tuan Fuad and Bukit Padang area.

Moderately highland areas (11-30 m) covered about 42.38% of the entire study area (Fig. 9). It is located in the northeastern and southwestern parts of the study area. Moderately highland areas most widespread has changed from its original height due to the activities of urbanization. From the satellite images observations, moderately highland areas have medium dark tone, incorporeal arise with lineaments trends at northeast-southwest. Moderately highland areas were produced by the process of adoption or folding of the Crocker Formation. In this area there are many rivers flowing along the valley. Hilly areas (> 30 m) that extends in the northwestern and southeastern parts covered about 41.60% of the entire study area (Fig. 9). This area is part of the Crocker range that forms a ridge nearly parallel to the strike of the bedding planes of the Crocker Formation sedimentary rocks in the northeast-southwest. There are several residential areas (villages) built in this area. Infrastructure and utilities are very limited and not as good as lowland or moderately highland areas.

3.7 Soil Textures

Information on soil types explaining the diversity of physical characteristics for unconsolidated deposition and weathering production. Soil texture and moisture are the most important components and characteristics of soils. Soil textures have a great impact on flooding because sandy soil absorbs water soon and few runoffs occurs. On the other hand, the clay soils are less porous and hold water longer than sandy soils. This implies that areas characterized by clay soils are more affected by flooding. Based on the soil types map derived from the Agriculture Department of Sabah (JPNS), the soils association in the study area can be grouped into ten (10) categories, namely the Weston association (very silty sand textured, SM) (5.47%), the Tanjung Aru association (sand with little silty textured, SW) (2.98%), the Tuaran association (very silty sand textured, SM) (2.03%), the Kinabatangan association (very claysy sand textured, SC) (1.28%), the Taman Tuan Fuad and Bukit Padang association (clay textured, C) (1.07%), the Kinabatangan association (very clayey sand textured, SC) (1.28%), the Sapi association (peat textured, Pt) (1.28%), the Kinabatangan association (clay textured, C) (1.07%), the Dalit association (very clayey sand textured, SC) (0.89%), the Lokan association (very silty sand textured, SM) (26.23%) and the Crocker association (clayey sand textured, S-C) (49.07%) (Fig. 10). The soil types in an area is important as they control the amount of water that can infiltrate into the ground, and hence the amount of water which becomes flow.45 The structure and infiltration capacity of soils will also have an important impact on the efficiency of the soil to act as a sponge and soak up water. Different types of soils have differing capacities. The chance of flood hazard increases with decrease in soil infiltration capacity, which causes increase in surface runoff. When water is supplied at a rate that exceeds the soil's infiltration capacity, it moves down slope as runoff on sloping land, and can lead to flooding.46

3.8 Life Forms

Information on vegetation distribution and types of forests in the study area. Vegetation types and distribution are controlled by the geographic setting of the study area. The floristic composition and the vegetation distribution are influenced by the geologic and topographic features of the study area. The vegetation in the study area is characterized by lowland tropical rainforest.

Figure 9: Elevation map

3.6 Slope Gradient

Elevation and slope play an important role in governing the stability of a terrain. The slope influences the direction of and amount of surface runoff or subsurface drainage reaching a site. Slope has a dominant effect on the contribution of rainfall to stream flow. It controls the duration of overland flow, infiltration and subsurface flow. Combination of the slope angles basically defines the form of the slope and its relationship with the lithology, structure, type of soil, and the drainage. Steepest slopes are more susceptible to surface runoff, while flat terrains are susceptible to water logging. Low gradient slopes are highly vulnerable to flood occurrences compared to high gradient slopes.38

In terms of slope gradient in the study area, the results suggest that 48.37% of the area can be categorized as 0o - 5o, 28.45% as a 6o - 15o, 22.41% as 16o - 30o, 0.75% as 31o - 60o and 0.01% in excess of 60o (Fig. 10 & Tab. 1). Rain or excessive water from the river always gathers in an area where the slope gradient is usually low. Areas with high slope gradients do not permit the water to accumulate and result into flooding. If the main concern is river caused flood, elevation difference of the various DEM cells from the river could be considered, whereas for pluvial flood local depressions, i.e., DEM cells with lower elevation than the surrounding would be more important. This implies that the way in which elevation could be associated with risk is important.
3.8 Slope curvatures

Slope shape has a strong influence on flood occurrences in by concentrating or dispersing surface and primarily subsurface water in the landscape. There are three basic slope curvatures units: convex, straight and concave. Convex landform is most stable in steep terrain, followed by concave hillslope segment and straight hillslope (least stable). The main reason is related to landform structure affecting largely the concentration or dispersion of surface and subsurface water. Convex and concave hillslopes tend to concentrate subsurface water into small areas of the slope, thereby generating rapid pore water pressure increase during storms or periods of rainfall. Whereas a straight hillslope /flat surface that allows the water to flow quickly is an advantage and causes flooding, whereas a higher surface roughness can slow down the flood response.

In this study, the slope curvatures map (Fig. 12) was prepared using the digital elevation model (DEM) and surface analysis tools in ArcGIS software. The slope curvatures classes having less values was assigned higher weighted value due to almost flat terrain while the class having maximum value was categorized as lower weighted value due to relatively high run-off (Fig. 12 & Tab. 1). Most of the entire flooding area lies in a straight or flat elevation. This implies that slope curvatures may not be the predominant factor in ranking FPL classes.

3.9 Flood Potential Level (FPL)

In terms of Flood Potential Level (FPL), of the results of the analyses for the Kota Kinabalu area show that 40.49% of the area can be categorised as having very low susceptibility (VLS), 35.00% as low susceptibility (LS), 18.21% as moderate susceptibility (MS), 5.50% as high susceptibility (HS), and 0.71% as very high susceptibility (VHS) (Fig. 13). In general, the VLS to LS areas refer to stable conditions from flood vulnerability/risk. In contrast, MS to HS areas are basically not recommended to be developed due to high flood vulnerability/risk. However, if there is no choice or the developer or the local authorities really want to develop these areas, some mitigation procedures to be introduced. VHS areas are strictly not recommended to be developed and provisions for suitable structural and non-structural works planning control are recommended.

Figure 12: Slope curvatures map

4. Conclusion

The results of this study indicate that the integration of MCE and GIS techniques provides a powerful tool for decision making procedures in FPL mapping, as it allows a coherent and efficient use of spatial data. The use of MCE for different factors is also demonstrated to be useful in the definition of the risk areas for the flood mapping and possible prediction. In overall, the case study results show that the GIS-MCE based category model is effective in flood risk zonation and management.

The developed framework model (Fig. 4) will be a very valuable resource for consulting, planning agencies and local governments in managing hazard/risk, land-use zoning, damage estimates, good governance and remediation efforts to mitigate floods. Moreover, the technique applied in this study can easily be extended to other areas, where other factors may be considered, depending on the availability of data.

Recognition that unplanned and uncontrolled development can increase the risk to life and damage to property is fundamental to successful floodplain management. Awareness of this issue is not just the responsibility of the local authorities, but all stakeholders, covering both public and private sectors. Whilst the land developer has the social responsibility for flood compatible development, the approving agencies share a portion of that responsibility through effective floodplain management, excised in a transparent, impartial manner.
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